# BIOMIMETIC SYNTHESIS OF 12-OXY-PIMARANES FROM 12,13-EPOXY-LABDADIENES

Alejandro F Barrero\*, Rafael Quintana, M and Joaquín Altarejos C Departamento de Química Orgánica Facultad de Ciencias Universidad de Granada 18071 GRANADA (Spain)

# (Received in UK 13 February 1991)

Abstract: Reactions of methyl 12,13-epoxy-cis-communates (3, 4) and methyl 12,13-epoxy-trans-communates (5, 6) with BF, etherate at 0°C are reported They occur in a stereoselective and stereospecific way yielding mainly C-12 oxygenated pimaranes When the reaction was carried out from  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  two tetracyclic ketones (17, 18) were also isolated Reaction mechanisms and stereochemical implications are discussed

Monoepoxides of polyenes are appropriate substrata in biomimetic syntheses of terpenoids and steroids<sup>1</sup> The oxirane ring basically plays two roles in these reactions (a) functionalization in a specific position of the molecule, and (b) provision of the required cation for achieving cyclization with a high stereochemical control,<sup>2</sup> by electrophilic opening In this paper we report the results of the opening reactions of methyl 12,13-epoxy-cis-communate (3, 4) and methyl 12,13-epoxy-trans-communate (5, 6) with BF<sub>3</sub> etherate Most products obtained in these processes are C-12 oxygenated pimaranes in addition to two tetracyclic ketones  $(17, 18)$ , when epoxides 5 and 6 were used

#### **METHODS AND RESULTS**

Epoxidation of methyl cis-communate (1) was performed with m-CPBA in the selective conditions described by Pascual Teresa et al<sup>3</sup> yielding, after chromatographic separation, a mixture of methyl (12R,13S)-12,13-epoxy-labda-8(17),14-dien-19-oate (3) and methyl (12S,13R)-12,13-epoxy-labda-8(17),14-dien-19-oate (4) (45% of the reaction products) <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of the mixture



shows the same signals for H-C<sub>12</sub> ( $\delta$  290, br t, J=6 Hz) and Me-C<sub>13</sub> ( $\delta$  136, s) in both isomers in accordance with a 12,13-oxirane The  $\delta$  values of the exocyclic methylene (3  $\delta$  48, 486, 4  $\delta$  475, 490) allowed to establish the stereochemistry of the lateral chain since conformational analysis around the  $C_{11}$ -C<sub>12</sub> bond justifies those differences observed in the chemical shifts (figure 1), the less hindranced  $3A$  and  $4A$  conformers should be preferred in a similar way as occurs for C-12 oxygenated labdanes<sup>4</sup> and as deduced from the 11,12 coupling constants In this sense the proximity between H<sub>endo</sub>-17 and oxygen in compound 4 justifies its deshielded  $\delta$  value (4.75 ppm) in opposition to that in compound 3 ( $\delta$  4.48)



Epoxidation of methyl trans-communate (2) yielded a mixture of methyl (12R,13R)- 12,13-epoxy-labda-8(17),14-dien-19-oate (5) and methyl (125,135)-12,13-epoxy-labda-8(17), 14- dien-19-oate (6) The stereochemistry of the lateral chain in 5 and 6 was



determined in a similar fashion as for 3 and 4, taking into account the multiplicity and coupling constant for H-12 (2d, J=67 Hz for 5 and J = 69 Hz for 6) as well as 6 values for H-17 (5 6 4 44, 4 84, 6 6 4 72, 4 89) in <sup>1</sup>H-NMR In accordance with these data, conformational analysis shows  $\Delta$  conformers as those more probable for compound  $\delta$  and  $\delta$  (figure 2)

In both epoxidation reactions a certain stereoselectivity through the formation of 12R-isomers is observed It should be related with the fact that the lateral chain of the starting products  $(1, 2)$  adopts a preferred conformation around the  $C_9-C_{11}^3$  and  $C_{11}$ - $C_{12}$ <sup>6</sup> bonds in order to minimize the interaction exerted by the bicyclic system (figure 3), allowing more easily the attack on hindered  $\alpha$  side that leads to 12R-epoxides This stereoselectivity is consistent with that described for the less photooxygenation<sup>7</sup> and oxymercuration-demercuration<sup>4</sup> reactions on 1 and 2



Figure 2



Figure 3

The oxirane ring opening reactions of methyl 12,13-epoxy-communates were carried out by treatments of mixtures of 3 and 4 with BF<sub>3</sub> etherate in benzene at 0°C Results of these reactions are collected in table 1



Table 1. Reaction of 3, 4 mixtures with BF<sub>3</sub> etherate



\* main reaction product, + minor reaction product

The opening of the oxirane ring followed by a  $H_{1,2}$  rearrangement leads to compound 12, and further  $\Delta^M$  isomerization

and stereoselective  $\Delta^{4(17)}$  hydration by the less hindered  $\alpha$  side leads to 14 Compounds 13 (formed by ring opening and direct hydration) and 14 are minor products originated by the presence of traces of water in the reaction mixture The rest of the products are the result of the cyclization process of the 8(17) double bond through C-13 position of the allyl cation originated in the oxirane opening 7 has been described as a radicallary cyclization product in the oxymercuration-demercuration of methyl trans-communate (2)<sup>45</sup> Its isomer (8), detected in a mixture together to 7 (65/35 ratio), shows similar 'H-NMR spectrum to 7, having the same stereochemistry on  $C_8$  and  $C_{12}$  as 7 since H-12 for both compounds has analogous splitting pattern (table 2) On the opposite, & possesses R-C<sub>13</sub> configuration deduced from the  $\delta$  values in <sup>13</sup>C-NMR for C<sub>15</sub> (deshielded) and C<sub>17</sub> (shielded) respect to those of  $7$ , as a consequence of different  $\gamma$ -effects exerted by  $C_{11}$  and the bridge oxygen (table 3)

	70	A	$\delta$ s – $\delta$ 7
$Me-10$	50.67(s)	δ 0 68 (s)	$+0.01$
$Me-13$	δ 1 08 (s)	5109(s)	$+0.01$
$Me-4$	δ 1 17 (s)	5117(s)	$\mathbf{o}$
Me0-19	5359(s)	5359(s)	$\circ$
$H - 12$	<b>8 3 83 (d, 5 5 Hz)</b>	<b>8</b> 3 92 (d, 5 8 Hz)	$+0.09$
$H - 15$	8 5 86 (dd, 18 7, 10 1 Hz)	δ 5 99 (dd, 17 8, 10 5 Hz)	$+0$ 13
$H - 16$	8 5 00 (dd, 18 7, 1 2 Hz)	5 4 88 (d. 10 5 Hz)	$-0$ 12
$H' - 16$	5 5 01 (dd, 10 1, 1 2 Hz)	$5489$ (d, 17 8 Hz)	$-0$ 12

Table 2<sup>1</sup>H-NMR data of compounds 7 and 8

See reference 9



Table 3 <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data of C<sub>15</sub> and C<sub>17</sub> for compounds 2 and 8



Structural elucidation of alcohols 9 and 10 posed the problem of the stereochemistry on C-12 and C-13 together to the ring C conformation The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of 2 shows an H-12 signal at  $\delta$  357 (m,  $w_{1/2k}$  = 10.5 Hz, pseudoequatorial proton) whereas that of 10 is at 6 3 47 (dd,  $J_1 = 93$  Hz,  $J_2 = 54$  Hz, pseudoaxial proton) Furthermore, absolute configuration in C-12 for 2 and 10 was determined by the Horeau method<sup>16</sup> as R and S, which indicates that both compounds present <sup>12</sup>H<sub>13</sub> half-chair conformations (figure 4) The C<sub>1</sub>, configurations for 2 and 10 were established by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR induced chemical shift studies with



Figure 4

pyridine-d<sub>3</sub> (table 4) and Eu(dpm)<sub>5</sub> (table 5) In both experiments for compound 2 H-15 is approximately two times more deshielded than H-17 indicating that hydroxyl and vinyl groups are in cis relative position  $(R-C_{13})$  The same cis relative position is deduced for compound 10 since the pyridine induced chemical shift on H-15 is also two times greater than that of H-17  $(S-C_{13})$  On the contrary, the P values of H-15 and H-17 for 10 (table 5) are similar, in agreement with an  $\alpha$ -pseudoequatorial position of HO-C<sub>12</sub> equidistant respect to both H-15 and H-17

$\mathbf H$	9(5, COCI <sub>3</sub> )	$2(52, Py-d3)$	$2(5, -5)$	$10(5)$ , CDC1s)	$10(52, Py-d5)$	$10(57 - 51)$
12	3.57	387	$+0.30$	$3 - 47$	378	$+0.30$
15	588	6 36	$+0.50$	5 85	6 33	$+0.48$
16	5 08	$5$ 13-5 24	$+0.10$	5 01	$5 - 13$	$+0$ 12
16	5.10	$5 - 13 - 5 - 24$	$+0.08$	5 09	5 18	$+0.09$
17	0.93	115	$+0.22$	1 05	128	$+0.23$

Table 4 <sup>1</sup>H-NMR data in CDCl, and pyridine-d, for compounds 2 and 10



"Eu(dpm), compound molar ratio, "Europium shift parameter (ref 17)

Compounds 11 shows unportant differences for angular methyles in <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and carbons of A and B rings in <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra respect to 9 and 10 (table 6) 11 should have a rosadiene structure according to the molecular formula deduced from the mass spectrum and  $\delta$  in <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra Since ring C adopts a chair conformation and H-12 an equatorial position (deduced from <sup>1</sup>H-NMR data), the configuration on C<sub>12</sub> must be R On the other hand, the  $\delta$  value of C<sub>17</sub> (24.16 ppm) (characteristic of axial methyles on cyclohexane<sup>11</sup>) allows to assign R configuration for C<sub>13</sub>

с	2	10	11
5	53 36	53.43	127 00
8	124.91	125 00	37 42
9	132.08	134 13	37.28
10	37 86	37 B1	140.51
12	72.51	74.51	74.21
13	39 64	40.30	42.15
15	144.86	140.75	146.46
17	22.95	23 64	24.16

Table 6. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data for compounds 9, 10 and 11

In order to complete the stereochemical study of the 12,13-oxirane ring opening of methyl 12,13-epoxy-communates, a reaction of a muxture of  $\frac{1}{2}$  (60%) and  $\frac{1}{2}$  (40%) with BF<sub>3</sub> etherate was carried out in the same conditions as for  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  This reaction yielded the following products 1 (4%), 2 (minor product), 15 (25%), 16 (63%), 12 (24%), 13a (14%), 14 (08%), 17 (71%) and 18 (35%)



15 and 16 have been stereochemically characterized making reference to their stereoisomers 2 and 10 in basis to 6 values for  $C_{17}$  in <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (table 7) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (table 9), located in pseudoequatorial and pseudoaxial positions, respectively

н	9	10	15	16
12	3 57 (m wizzh = 10 5 Hz) 3 47 (dd 9 3, 5 4 Hz)		$3.55$ (m, wizzn=11 Hz)	$3.40 - 3.67$ (m)
15		5 88 (dd, 18 0, 11 0 Hz) 5 85 (dd 17 5 10 9 Hz)	575(dd, 1810Hz)	5 77 (dd 18 10 Hz)
16	5 08 (dd 18 0 1 2 Hz)	5 01 (dd 17 6, 1 6 Hz)	$500$ (dd $18.2$ Hz)	5 09 (dd 18, 1 8 Hz)
16	5 10 (dd 11 0. 1 2 Hz)	5 09 (dd 10 9 1 6 Hz)	5 03 (dd 10, 2 Hz)	5 12 (dd 10 1 8 Hz)
17	$0.93$ (a)	$1,05$ (s)	<b>1 00 (S)</b>	$0.93$ (s)

**Table 7.** <sup>1</sup>H-NMR data of  $H_{12}$  and  $H_{15}$ -H<sub>17</sub> for compounds 2, 10, 15 and 16

Compound 17 shows molecular peak at  $m/z$  332 ( $C_nH_{32}O_3$ ) in MS and just two unsaturated carbons in the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum corresponding to cyclohexanone (6 216 67) and methylic ester (6 177 68) The rest of spectroscopic data is consistent with the proposed structure, having performed 2D-NMR ('H-'H and one-bond 'H-'C correlations) experiments to complete the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (table 8) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (table 9) assignments Some signals in the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum strongly support this structure In particular, the 6 values and multiplicities of H-1, H-3 and H-11b (table 8) may be only justified through the proposed tetracyclic ketone (figure 5) The mass spectrum also supports the existence of the cyclobutane ring since the base









a These values may be reserved

Figure 5  $C_{11b}$ -C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>3</sub> C<sub>3a</sub> bond angles for compound 17

peak at m/z 121, typical of labdanes with alkoxy carbonyl group on  $C_4$  and 8(17) double bond<sup>12</sup> as well as of alkoxycarbonyl pimaranes with  $\Delta^{k(s)}$  unsaturation,<sup>13</sup> indicates that the fragmentation pattern is directed by a double bond in those positions which can be only originated from the cyclobutane breakage in both directions (scheme 1) Furthermore the main fragmentations are justified through the radical-ion I being the same as for 12

Compound 18 could not be resolved from 17 However, the studies of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra and 2D-NMR experiments (table 10) allowed to identify 18 as methyl 12-oxo-beyeran-19-oate

### **DISCUSSION**

A different behaviour in the electrophilic oxirane opening reactions is observed depending on the stereochemistry of the 12,13-epoxides used, according to the skeleton types originated in these reactions Although the product of  $H_{1,2}$  rearrangement (12) represents about a 25% in reactions from either 12,13-epoxy-cis-communates or 12,13-epoxy-trans-communates, the relative ratio of cyclization products versus 12 is 25% higher in reaction from cis-isomers In addition, 12,13-epoxy-trans-communates show a different cyclization pattern to tetracyclic ketones 17 and 18, which represents a 10%



Scheme 1 Main fragmentations observed in the MS of compound 17

Table 9 <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data<sup>\*</sup> for compounds 3-12 and 14-18

с	1			₹		5		£				₿		2	10	
	39	26	38.	98	39	01	39	28	41	49	41	68	39	96	36	-62
2	19	90	19	87	19	82	19	87	18	73	18	75	19	50	19	39
з	38.	14	зв	13	38.	O9	38	09	38	28	38	27	37	-74	37	62
4	44	26	44	25	44	21	44	21	43 86		43	86	43	86		43 71
5	56	15	56	08	56	03	56	12	54	-61	54	60	53	36		53 43
6	26 01		26	04	25	98	25	98	20	79	20	78	20	61		20 49
	38 51		38	41	38	35	38	44	32	20	32	08	33	03		32 36
8	147	80	148	33	148	25	147	53	85	58	85	44	124 91			125 00
9	53	86	53	78	53	69	54.	೦೨	55	47	56	04	132 08			134 13
10	40 07		40	01	39	89	40	OВ	36	61	36	70		37 86	37	81
11	23	-34	22	80		23.22	23	72	26	91	26	16		28 96		30 89
12	65 44		65	43		64 59	64	68	84	22	84	22		72 51	74	-51
13	60 46		61	20	60	04	59	43	48	31	46	31		39 64	40	-30
14	136 31		136	54	140	88	140	98	50	08	52	-33		37 39	42	53
15	117	81	117	68	115	-53	115.53		144 05		147	97	144 86			140 75
16	21	40	21	36	15	37	15	oo	113 03		109	86	113 26			114 42
17	107.	53	106	83	106 80		107	73		27 38	21	<b>OB</b>		22 95		23 64
18	28	77	28	76	28	73	28	73		28 80	28	81	28	-36	28	-29
19	177	58	177	63	177	58	177	50	177 92		177	91	177 97			177 89
20	12	38	12	62	12	59	12	40		14 75	14	93	17	- 13	17	-05
MeO	51	13	51	09	51	08	51	٥а	51	೦೨	51	09	51	O9	51	- 02



#### Table 9 (continuation)

\* The spectra were run at 75 MHz (except 12, at 20 MHz) in CDCl<sub>3</sub> δ values are given in ppm downfield from TMS

<sup>14</sup>Values bearing the same superscript may be interchanged

# Table 10. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR data for compound 18 and correlations between protons deduced from 2D-NMR experiments

1ax	$0.95 - 1.06$ (m)	$2 - 300 - 20$ iea i
<b>Teg</b>	$1, 65 - 1, 77, (m)$	$\mathbf{z}$ 3 $1a$ x
$2a$ x	$140 - 151(m)$	$1 \quad 3$ 2eg
2eq	$1, 72 - 1, 81$ (m)	iax 3 2ax
3ax	$0.92 - 1.02$ (m)	3eg 2 1eg
3eq	$2$ 11-2 22 (m)	$3ax - 2$ 1
5	1 29 (br d 18)	6
60	$165 - 179$ (m)	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 5 6а
60	1 88-1 99 (m)	60, 5 $\overline{ }$
$\overline{1}$	1 81-1 92 (m)	6
110	$172-182$ (m)	118
110	$2$ 11-2 22 (m)	11a
14a B	$1.83 - 1.97$ (m)	

 $\mathbf H$  $\delta$  (multiplicity; Hz) correlated hydrogens

The proposed formation mechanisms for the products of these reactions are summarized in scheme 2 Compounds 3 and  $\bf{4}$  originate cyclizations through route b only, whereas their isomers  $\bf{5}$  and  $\bf{6}$  do it through route c as well Since the opening of the oxirane ring is accomplished by breaking of the  $C_{13}$ -O bond, the tricyclic compounds formed ought to retain the  $C_{12}$  configuration of the oxiranes of which they come from ( $\overline{2}$  and  $\overline{8}$  are necessarily originated from  $\overline{3}$ ) In this sense,



the stereochemical aspects of these processes have been clarified by the reactions performed with 4 (95% of purity), which yielded compound 10 (12-S) almost exclusively, and by that performed on a mixture of  $3$  (70%) and  $4$  (30%), which basically led to 2 (12-R) The chirality on C<sub>13</sub> for compounds 2 and 10 evidences that the original orientation of the sustituents on C<sub>13</sub> (in compounds 3 and 4, respectively) is quite kept This has also noted in a large-scale reactions from a mixture of 3 (60%) and 4 (40%) (R-1 in experimental section) where compound 8 was predominantly formed as opposed to 7 (65% of 8 versus 35%



of 7) This means that it is a stereoselective and stereospecific process in which the ring opening and cyclization of  $\Delta^{8(17)}$  to the allyl cation II (scheme 2) must be quite synchronic, giving the tertiary cation III that evolves in three different ways (scheme 3), being well-known that leads to  $11^{14}$ 

The reaction of 5 and 6 with BF, etherate shows similar cyclization to pimaranes, although a higher stereoselectivity is observed as deduced from the sole isolation of epoxide 7 (originated in this case from 5) This fact may be justified by the larger stability of allyl cation IVa (intermediate from  $\frac{1}{2}$  that leads to  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) than that of IVb, which yields its C<sub>13</sub> epimer  $\frac{1}{2}$  (figure 6), because of the interaction between the  $\pi$ -8(17) orbitals and the empty orbital of the allyl cation (in  $\underline{1Va}$ ) Thus, the transition



state geometry remains unchanged after the opening of the oxirane ring from § On the other hand, from the opening of epoxide 3, the geometry of the resulting allyl cation is the less stable that may lead to a partial epimerization on C<sub>13</sub>

In relation to the formation of the tetracyclic ketones 17 and 18 the mechanism leading to the eight-member ring is what establishes the difference of the reaction from 5 and 6 respect to that from 3 and 4 Assuming that the oxirane ring opening and the cyclization are synchronic processes, the generation of the eight-members ring from 3 and 4 is not possible as a consequence of the lateral chain inflexibility that keeps  $C_{15}$  away from the 8(17) double bond On the opposite, the



stereochemistry of the oxiranes from methyl trans-communate does allow this cyclization pattern (figure 7) Furthermore the evolution of cationic intermediates is different and it depends on the stereochemistry of starting epoxide, from 6 the formation of the cyclobutane takes place stereospecifically through the side  $\alpha$  because of steric hindrances of Me-C<sub>10</sub> (scheme 4) Finally, the 1,2-hydride rearrangement of H-12 $\beta$  leads to 17

Scheme 4



When the starting epoxide is 5 the tetracyclic carbenium Y suffers a four-member ring expansion to hybanil cation VI which Is stabilized by a  $H_{13}$  rearrangement of H-12 $\alpha$ , the only hydrogen with appropriate stereochemistry to migrate (scheme 5)<sup>15</sup> From these considerations it can be finally deduced that ketones  $17$  and  $18$  are stereospecifically generated from each methyl 12,13-epoxy-trans-communate



### EXPERIMENTAL

Melting points were determined using a Reichert type Kofler microscope and are uncorrected Optical rotations have been determmed on a Perkm-Elmer Model 141 polameter, usmg CHCI, as solvent (the concentration was of 10 mg/mL) UV spectra were recorded in MeOH on a UV-VIs Bausch-lamb model spectronic 2000 spectrometer and IR spectra on a Perkin-Elmer Model 983G spectrometer with samples between sodium chloride plates or as potassium bromide pellets 'H-NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker WP 80 SY (80 MHz) and Bruker AM 300 (300 MHz) spectrometers using TMS or CHCl<sub>3</sub> as standard and CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra were run at 75 MHz and 20 MHz on Bruker AM 300 and Bruker WP 80 SY Instruments 2D-NMR ('H-'H and one-bond 'H-"C correlatrons) experiments were performed on a Bruker AM 300 spectrometer MS spectra were obtained on a Hewlett-Packard 5988A mass spectrometer using an ionizing voltage of 70 eV Chromatographic separations were carried out by conventional column on Merck silica gel 60 (70-230 mesh) and by flash column on Merck silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh) using eluents of increasing polarity from hexane to diethyl ether Analytical TLC was performed on 025 mm thick layers of Merck silica gel 60G using a 7% phosphomolybdic acid solution (in ethanol) to develop the spots Preparative TLC was carried out on 100 mm thick layers of Merck silica gel 60 PF<sub>754</sub> gipshalting visualizing the bands with a 254 nm ultraviolet hght Mixtures of compounds with a similar Rf in TLC were column chromatographed on 20% AgNO,/srhca gel

### **Isolation of methyl cus-(1) and trans-communate (2)**

**1 and 2 were ohtamed from** the acrdlc fraction (prenously steretied wth dlazomethane m Et,O) of the hexane extract of berries of Juniperus communis  $L^{16}$ 

#### Epoxidation of methyl cis-communate (1)

**To a stlrred solution of J. (100 g, 3 16 mmol)** m CH,CI, (100 mL), a solution of m-CPBA (0 59 g, 3 41 mmol) m CH,Cl, (120 mL) was added dropwise in 2h at room temperature An aqueous solution of 10% Na<sub>5</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> (12.5 mL) was added After adding  $355$  mL of saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution, the reaction mutture was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>, washed with water and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> After removal of the solvent, the residue (102 g) was column chromatographed on silica gel yielding the following fractions (a) 20 mg (eluted with hexane-Et,O 99 1) of a mixture of  $\frac{4}{30\%}$  and methyl (12R,13S)-12,13-epoxy-labda-8(17),14dien-19-oate (3) (70%),  $[\alpha]_D$  +61 0°, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (80 MHz) 6 0 50 (s, Me-10), 1 18 (s, Me-4), 1 36 (s, Me-13), 2 90 (br t, J = 6

Hz, H-12), 3 60 (s, MeO-19), 4 48 (br s, H-17), 4 86 (br s, H'-17), 5 13-5 46 (m, H-15), 5 82 (dd, J<sub>1</sub>=18 Hz, J<sub>2</sub>=10 Hz, H-14), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR in table 9, EIMS m/z (rel int) 332 (M<sup>+</sup>, 1), 317 (M<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>, 1), 273 (M<sup>+</sup>-COOMe, 2), 249 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>2</sub>0, 1), 189 (249<sup>+</sup>-HCOOMe, 14), 161 (16), 133 (20), 121 (100), 93 (48), 83 (C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>p</sub><sup>+</sup>, 21), 79 (60), 55 (76) (b) 250 mg (eluted with hexane-Et<sub>2</sub>O 98 2) of a mixture of 3 (60%) and 4 (40%) (c) 160 mg (eluted with hexane-Et<sub>2</sub>O 97 3) of a mixture of 3 (30%) and  $4$  (70%) (d) 43 mg (eluted with hexane-Et<sub>2</sub>O % 4) of methyl (12S,13R)-12,13-epoxy-labda-8(17),14-dien-19-oate (4) [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> +36 0°, IR (KBr) v 3085, 1646, 990, 927 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 3085, 1646, 890 (C=CH<sub>2</sub>), 1728, 1230, 1155 (COOMe), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (80 MHz)  $\delta$  050 (s, Me-10), 118 (s, Me-4), 1.36 (s, Me-13), 290 (br t, J=6 Hz-12), 360 (s, MeO-19), 475 (br s, H-17), 490 (br s, H'-17), 5 13-5 46 (m, H-15), 5 80 (dd,  $J_1 = 18$  Hz,  $J_2 = 10$  Hz, H-14), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR in table 9

#### Reaction of a mixture of  $3$  (60%) and  $4$  (40%) with BF, retherate.  $(R-1)$

To a solution of a mixture of 3 and 4 (315 g, 947 mmol) in dry benzene (260 mL) under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, 2.60 mL (21 mmol) of BF<sub>1</sub> etherate was added at 0°C After stirring for 12 min the reaction mixture was poured onto a 5% NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (1300 mL) and extracted with Et,O (3x100 mL) The organic layer was washed with water and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> After removal of the solvent the residue  $(277 g)$  was flash column chromatographed on silica gel  $(120 mg)$  yielding the following compounds

Methyl 12-oxo-labda-8(17),14-dien-19-oate (12) 613 mg eluted with hexane-Et,O 96 4, oil  $[\alpha]_0$  + 31 6°, IR (neat) v 3079, 1642, 990, 920 (CH=CH<sub>1</sub>), 3079, 1642, 884 (C=CH<sub>1</sub>), 1721 (C=O), 1721, 1228, 1154 (COOMe), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (80 MHz) δ 0 53 (s, Me-10), 1 16 (d, J = 7 Hz, Me-13), 1 20 (s, Me-4), 3 05-3 47 (m, H-13), 3 62 (s, MeO-19), 4 31 (br s, H-17), 4 74 (br s, H'-17), 5 14 (br d, J = 10 Hz, H-15), 5 17 (br d, J = 17 Hz, H'-15), 5 58-6 08 (m, H-14), 'H-NMR (80 MHz, double resonance) irradiated hydrogen [affected hydrogens] H-13 [Me-13 and H-14 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 17 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 10 Hz)], <sup>13</sup>C-NMR in table 9, EIMS m/z (rel mt) 332 (M<sup>+</sup>, 25), 277 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, 59), 272 (13), 249 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O, 34), 235 (249<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>2</sub>, 27), 234 (36), 217 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>-HCOOMe, 100), 189 (43), 175 (28), 121 (82), 109 (51), 91 (30), 81 (32)

Methyl (8R,12R)-8,12-epoxy-pimar-15-en-19-oate (8) and methyl (8R,12R)-8,12-epoxy-isopimar-15-en-19-oate (7). 144 mg of a muxture of  $\frac{8}{3}$  (65%) and  $\frac{7}{35}$  eluted with hexane-Et<sub>1</sub>O 92 8, oil [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> +60 3°, IR (neat) v 3083, 1636, 996, 911 (CH = CH<sub>2</sub>), 1729, 1232, 1191, 1156 (COOMe), 1094, 1041 (C-0-C), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) for both compounds in table 2, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR for both compounds in table 9, EIMS m/z (rel int) 332 (M<sup>+</sup>, 37), 317 (M<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>, 100), 273 (M<sup>+</sup>-COOMe, 7), 263 (10), 257 (M<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>-HCOOMe, 4), 223 (11), 163 (13), 121 (26), 109 (31), 81 (27), 79 (26), 55 (26)

Methyl (12R,13S)-12-hydroxy-rosa-5(10),15-dien-19-oate (11). 50 mg cluted with hexane-Et<sub>1</sub>O 85 15, oil  $[\alpha]_0$  +73 5°, IR (neat) v 3526, 1037 (OH), 3080, 1636, 911 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 1730, 1238, 1192, 1162 (COOMe), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz)  $\delta$  1 05 (s, Me-13), 1 14 (s, Me-4), 1 24 (s, Me-9), 3 60 (m,  $w_{1/2h} = 9$  Hz, H-12), 3 62 (s, MeO-19), 5 15 (dd, J<sub>1</sub>=176 Hz, J<sub>2</sub>=1.3 Hz, H-16), 5 18 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 10 9 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 1 3 Hz, H<sup>2</sup>-16), 5 85 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 17 6 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 10 9 Hz, H-15), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR in table 9, EIMS m/z (rel int) 332 (M<sup>+</sup>, 8), 273 (M<sup>+</sup>-COOMe, 29), 255 (M<sup>+</sup>-COOMe-H<sub>2</sub>O, 18), 245 (M<sup>+</sup>-COOMe-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>a</sub>, 15), 161 (M<sup>+</sup>-COOMe-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O, 39), 131  $(31)$ , 121  $(32)$ , 105  $(58)$ , 85  $(20)$ , 91  $(69)$ , 79  $(60)$ , 67  $(69)$ , 59  $(76)$ , 55  $(100)$ , 41  $(95)$ 

Methyl (12R)-12-hydroxy-pimara-8,15-dien-19-oate (2). 229 mg eluted with hexane-Et<sub>2</sub>O 75 25, oil  $[\alpha]_D$  +84 2°, IR (neat) v 3472, 1058 (OH), 3082, 1640, 910 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 1730, 1231, 1195, 1163 (COOMe), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) 6 080 (s, Me-10), 093 (s, Me-13), 1 20 (s, Me-4), 3 57 (m,  $w_{1/2b} = 105$  Hz, H-12), 3 62 (s, MeO-19), 5 08 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 18 0 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 1 2 Hz, H-16), 5 10 (dd,  $J_1 = 11.0$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.2$  Hz, H'-16), 5.88 (dd,  $J_1 = 18.0$  Hz,  $J_2 = 11.0$  Hz, H-15), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, pyridine-d<sub>5</sub>)  $\delta$  0.89 (s, Me-10), 1 15 (s, Me-13), 1 20 (s, Me-4), 3 58 (s, MeO-19), 3 87 (br d, J = 6 0 Hz, H-12), 5 13-5 24 (m, H-16), 5 76 (d, J = 6 Hz, HO-12),

6 38 (dd,  $J_1 = 180$  Hz,  $J_2 = 110$  Hz, H-15), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, pyridine-d<sub>3</sub>, double resonance) irradiated hydrogens [affected hydrogens] HO-12 [H-12 (t, J=47 Hz)] H-12 [HO-12 (s) and H-11 (located around 225 ppm)], H-11 [H-12 (d, J=6 Hz)], <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (80 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, Eu(dpm)<sub>3</sub>) in table 5, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR in table 9, EIMS m/z (rel int) 332 (M<sup>+</sup>, 38), 317 (M<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>, 31), 314 (M<sup>+</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O, 19), 299 (M<sup>+</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O-CH<sub>3</sub>, 77), 287 (M<sup>+</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>, 3), 273 (M<sup>+</sup>-COOMe, 19), 272 (M<sup>+</sup>-HCOOMe, 15), 255 (M<sup>+</sup>-COOMe-H<sub>2</sub>O, 21), 254 (M<sup>+</sup>-HCOOMe-H<sub>2</sub>O, 10), 240 (255<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>, 24), 239 (254<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>, 100), 213 (240<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>, 8), 212  $(239^{\texttt{-}} \text{C}_{2}\text{H}_{3}, 5)$ , 199 (13), 183 (24), 173 (27), 167 (22), 164 ( $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}^*$ , 3), 149 (164<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>, 70), 146 (164<sup>+</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O, 12), 131 (149<sup>+</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O, 38), 117 (30), 109 (12), 105 (45), 93 (25), 91 (61), 84 (50), 59 (64), 55 (75), R configuration in C-12 was established by the "Horeau method"<sup>10</sup> with an optical rotation of  $+0.143^{\circ}$  and an optical yield of 12.3%

Methyl (12R)-12-acetoxy-13-hydroxy-labda-8(17),14-dien-19-oate (13b). 145 mg of a muxture of 13a (50%) and 14 (50%), cluted with hexane-Et.<sub>2</sub>O 11, were acetylated with Ac.<sub>2</sub>O/pyridine and chromatographed on silica gel obtaining 42 mg of 13h (hexane-Et<sub>2</sub>O 73), oil [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> + 23 4°, IR (neat) v 3498, 1034 (OH), 3080, 1660, 892 (C=CH<sub>2</sub>), 3080, 1647, 926 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 1727, 1242, (AcO), 1727, 1242, 1154 (COOMe), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (80 MHz) 6 0 47 (s, Me-10), 1 15 (s, Me-4), 1.23 (s, Me-13), 208 (s, AcO-12), 3.58 (s, MeO-19), 4 48 (br s, H-17), 4 86 (br s, H'-17), 4 93 (t, J=5 Hz, H-12), 5 18 (dd, J<sub>1</sub>=10 Hz, J<sub>2</sub>=2 Hz, H-15), 5 31 (dd,  $J_1 = 16$  Hz,  $J_2 = 2$  Hz, H'-15), 5 92 (dd,  $J_1 = 16$  Hz,  $J_2 = 10$  Hz, H-14), EIMS m/z (rel int) 332 (M<sup>+</sup>-AcOH, 3), 314 (M<sup>+</sup>-AcOH-H<sub>2</sub>O, 3), 272 (M<sup>+</sup>-AcOH-HCOOMe, 2), 255 (314<sup>+</sup>-COOMe, 4), 254 (314<sup>+</sup>-HCOOMe, 2), 201 (11), 161 (11), 121 (56), 93 (24), 81 (23), 71 (39), 55 (31), 43 (100)

Methyl 8a-hydroxy-12-oxo-labd-13-E-en-19-oate (14). 23 mg of 14 were column purified (hexane-Et<sub>2</sub>O 65 35) from a muxture of 13b and 14, oil. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> +40 1°, UV (MeOH)  $\lambda$  max. 222 nm ( $\epsilon$  1500), IR (neat) v 3480 (OH), 3020, 1640 (C=CH), 1664  $(\alpha, \beta$ -unsaturated carbonyl), 1728, 1235, 1191, 1153 (COOMe), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (80 MHz)  $\delta$  064 (s, Me-10), 1 10 (s, Me-8), 1 16 (s, Mc-4), 178 (br s, Mc-13), 184 (br d, J=7 Hz, Mc-14), 2.56 (dd, J<sub>1</sub>=19 Hz, J<sub>2</sub>=4 Hz, H-11), 285 (dd, J<sub>1</sub>=19 Hz, J<sub>2</sub>=4 Hz, H'-11), 360 (s, MeO-19), 679 (br q, J = 5 Hz, H-14), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR in table 9, EIMS m/z (rel int) 350 (M<sup>+</sup>, 3), 335 (M<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>, 1), 332 (M<sup>+</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O, 3), 317 (M<sup>+</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O-CH<sub>3</sub>, 2), 289 (8), 373 (2), 235 (5), 205 (11), 179 (17), 175 (9), 121 (15), 83 (C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>, 79), 78 (81), 63 (100), 55 (55)

#### Reaction of a mixture of  $3(70%)$  and  $4(30%)$  with BF<sub>3</sub>:etherate (R-2)

146 mg (044 mmol) of an oxirane mixture enriched in compound 3 (70%) were treated in identical conditions to those of R-1 reaction yielding 180 mg of a reaction crude which 'H-NMR spectrum basically showed signals of compound 2 being also able to detect compounds Z, 8 and 10

### Reaction of a mixture of 3 (30%) and 4 (70%) with BF, etherate.  $(R-3)$

In identical conditions to those of R-1 reaction 2  $g$  (633 mmol) of an oxirane mixture enriched in compound  $\frac{4}{3}$  were treated obtaining 194 g of reaction crude that by flash column chromatography over silica gel yielded 12 (398 mg, hexane-Et,O 96 4), 51 mg of a muxture of 7 (40%) and 8 (60%) eluted with hexane-Et<sub>2</sub>O 946, 10 (509 mg, hexane-Et<sub>2</sub>O 91 9), 2 (69 mg, hexane-Et,O 88 12), 73 mg of a muxture of 14 (40%) and the C-12 epimer of compound 13 (60%) eluted with hexane-Et,O 41

Methyl (12S)-12-hydroxy-isopimar-8,15-dien-19-oate (10). Oil  $[\alpha]_D$  +62.7°, IR (neat) v 3500, 1057 (OH), 3086, 1644, 922 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 1729, 1232, 1199, 1165 (COOMe); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) 6 0 75 (s, Me-10), 1 05 (s, Me-13), 1 16 (s, Me-4), 3 47  $(dd, J_1=9.3 \text{ Hz}, J_2=54 \text{ Hz}, H-12), 359 \text{ (s, } \text{MeO-19}), 501 \text{ (dd, } J_1=176 \text{ Hz}, J_2=16 \text{ Hz}, H-16), 509 \text{ (dd, } J_1=109 \text{ Hz}, J_2=16 \text{ Hz})$  Hz, H'-16), 5 85 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 17 6 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 10 9 Hz, H-15), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (80 MHz, pyridine-d<sub>5</sub>) 6 0 85 (s, Me-10), 1 16 (s, Me-4), 1 28 (s, Me-U), 359 (s, McO-19), 3 78 (br dd, J, =9 Hz, J,=S Hz, H-12). 5 l3 (dd, J, = 18 Hz, J,=2 Hz, H-16), 5 18 (dd, J,= 11 Hr,  $J_2=2$  Hz, H'-16), 6 33 (dd,  $J_1=18$  Hz,  $J_2=11$  Hz, H-15), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (80 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, Eu(dpm)<sub>3</sub>) in table 5, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR in table 9, ZD-NMR expertments m table 10, EfMS m/z (rel mt ) 332 (M', 3), 317 (2), 314 (l), 299 (5), 273 (3). 272 (3), 255 (3), 240 (2), 239 (9), 213 (2), 199 (4), 183 (5), 173 (5), 159 (6), 149 (50). 86 (61), 84 (lOO), 47 (19), S contiguratron m C-12 was established by the " Horeau method"<sup>10</sup> with an optical rotation of  $-0.093^\circ$  and an optical yield of 24 7%

#### Reaction of 4 with BF, etherate. (R-4)

The reaction of  $4$  (87 mg, 0 26 mmol) with BF<sub>3</sub> etherate was carried out in the same conditions that above in R-1 reaction yteldmg 119 mg of crude whtch 'H-NMR spectrum showed signals **from compounds lQ (major) and 12 (mmor)** 

### Epoxidation of methyl *trans-communate* (2).

2 54 g (805 mmol) of  $2$  were epoxidized in identical conditions as for compound 1 (see above) obtaining 3 g of reaction crude that by column chromatography yielded (hexane-Et<sub>2</sub>O 98 2) 1 08 g of a mixture of methyl (12R,13R)-12,13-epoxy-labda- $8(17)$ ,14-dien-19-oate (5) (60%) and methyl (12S,13S)-12,13-epoxy-labda-8(17),14-dien-19-oate (6) (40%) [ $\alpha]_D$  +40 3°, IR (neat) v 3085, 1647, 990, 915 (CH=CH<sub>1</sub>), 3085, 1647, 890 (C=CH<sub>2</sub>), 1725, 1233, 1150 (COOMe), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) (a) for compound  $5\text{ }6\text{ }048$  (s, Me-10), 116 (s, Me-4), 137 (s, Me-13), 278 (d, J=6 7 Hz, H-12), 358 (s, MeO-19), 444 (br s, H-17), 4 84 (br s, H'-17), 5 11 (br d, J = 10 7 Hz, H-15), 5 25 (br d, J = 17 4 Hz, H'-15), 5 59 (dd,  $J_1 = 107$  Hz,  $J_2 = 174$  Hz, H-14), (b) for compound 6 6 0 49 (s, Me-10), 116 (s, Me-4), 137 (s, Me-13), 277 (d, J = 6 9 Hz, H-12), 3 58 (s, MeO-19), 4 72 (br s, H-17), 4 89 (br s, H'-17), 5 11 (br d, J = 10 7 Hz, H-15), 5 25 (br d, J = 17 4 Hz, H'-15), 5 58 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 10 7 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 17 4 Hz, H-14), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR for compounds  $\S$  and  $\S$  in table 9, EIMS m/z (rel int ) 332 (M<sup>+</sup>, 1), 273 (2), 189 (6), 181 (4), 133 (12), 121 (84), 79 (100), 55 (87)

#### **Reaction of a mixture of**  $\frac{5}{2}$  **(60%) and**  $\frac{6}{2}$  **(40%) with BF, etherate.** (R-5)

The reaction of 5 and 6 (1 g, 3 01 mmol) with BF, etherate was performed as above (reaction final time 30 mm) obtaining 935 mg of crude that by flash column chromatography on silica gel yielded  $12$  (224 mg, hexane-Et<sub>2</sub>O 973),  $2$  (37 mg, hexane-Et<sub>1</sub>O 95 5), 100 mg of a muxture of 17 (70%) and 18 (30%) eluted with hexane-Et<sub>1</sub>O 93 7, 16 (59 mg, hexane-Et<sub>2</sub>O 85 15), 32 mg of a muxture of  $9$  (25%) and 15 (75%) eluted with hexane-Et<sub>2</sub>O 73, 35 mg of a muxture of 13a (60%) and 14 (40%) **eluted wth Et,0** 

(3R,3aR,5aS,7aR,8S,11aR,11bR)-3,8,11a-trimethyl-8-methoxycarbonyl-2-oxo-perhydrocyclobutan[J]phenanthrene(17).andmethyl **12-1.1x0-beyeran-19.oate us).** Mp 95-100°C (MeOH), **[all, +50** 2", IR (RBr) v 1717 (CO), 1717, 1232, 1193, 1150 (COOMe), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz) (a) for compound 17 6 0 55 (s, Me-11a), 1 05 (d, J=7 2 Hz, Me-3), 1 15 (s, Me-8), 3 62 (s, MeO-), (b) for compound 18  $\delta$  0 78 (s, Me-10), 0 99 (s, Me-13), 1 15 (s, Me-4), 3 62 (s, MeO-19), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR for compound 17 and 18 in table 9, 2D-NMR cxperunents m table 8, EIMS m/z (rel mt ) 332 (M'. 22), 304 (22), 289 (9), 278 (6), 277 (27), 273 (21), 272 (16), 249 (19), 245 (8), 235 (17), 234 (22), 217 (38), 189 (19), 181 (17), 175 (l5), 123 (31), 121 (lOO), 109 (48), 81 (41), 55 (66) Methyl **(12S)-12-hydroxy-pimara-8,15-dien-19-oate (16)**. Oil  $[\alpha]_D$  +523°, IR (neat) v 3434, 1057 (OH), 3078, 1638, 1005, 913  $(CH=CH_2)$ , 1665 (C=C), 1721, 1232, 1194, 1160 (COOMe), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (80 MHz)  $\delta$  081 (s, Me-10), 093 (s, Me-13), 120 (s, Me-4), 3 40-3 67 (m, H-12), 3 62 (s, MeO-19), 5 09 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 18 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 1 8 Hz, H-16), 5 12 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 10 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 1 8 Hz, H'-16),

5 77 (dd, J, = 18 Hz, J, = 10 Hz, H-15), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR in table 9

Methyl (12R)-12-hydroxy-isopimara-8,15-dien-19-oate (15). Oil  $[\alpha]_D$  +97 5°, IR (neat) v 3463, 1056 (OH), 3084, 1641, 911 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 1729, 1231, 1195, 1163 (COOMe), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (80 MHz)  $\delta$  080 (s, Me-10), 1 00 (s, Me-13), 1 20 (s, Me-4), 3 55  $(m, w_{1/2b} = 11 \text{ Hz}, H-12), 363 \text{ (s, Me-19)}, 500 \text{ (dd, J}_1 = 18 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 2 \text{ Hz}, H-16), 503 \text{ (dd, J}_1 = 10 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 2 \text{ Hz}, H'-16), 575 \text{ (dd, J}_2 = 2 \text{ Hz}, J_3 = 2 \text{ Hz}, J_4 = 2 \text{ Hz}, J_5 = 2 \text{ Hz}, J_6 = 2 \text{ Hz}, J_7 = 2 \text{ Hz}, J_8 = 2 \text{ Hz}, J_9 = 2 \text{ Hz}, J_1 = 2 \text$  $J_1 = 18$  Hz,  $J_2 = 10$  Hz, H-15), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (80 MHz, pyridine-d<sub>5</sub>)  $\delta$  092 (s, Me-10), 122 (s, Me-4), 130 (s, Me-13), 357 (s, MeO-19), 390 (m, w<sub>1/2a</sub> = 11 Hz, H-12), 5 00-5 32 (m, H-16), 6 05 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 18 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 10 Hz, H-15), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR in table 9, EIMS m/z (rel int) 332 (M<sup>+</sup>, 21), 317 (10), 314 (14), 299 (52), 273 (19), 272 (17), 255 (14), 254 (6), 240 (19), 239 (95), 213 (5), 199 (11), 185 (17), 183 (27), 173 (24), 164 (3), 159 (25), 157 (24), 147 (33), 131 (45), 121 (35), 105 (64), 93 (40), 91 (84), 79 (58), 55 (100)

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We wish to thank the receipt of financial assistance from the Junta de Andalucía

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Van Tamelen, E E and Anderson, RJ, J Am Chem Soc 1972, 94, 8225
- 2. Van Tamelen, E.E. and Marson, S.A., J Am Chem Soc 1975, 97, 5614
- 3. Pascual Teresa, J de, San Feliciano, A, Miguel del Corral, J M and Barrero, A F, Studia Chemica 1984, IX, 255
- 4. Bell, R.A., Gravestock, V and Tagouchi, Y, Can J Chem 1975, 53, 2869
- 5. Buckwalter, B L, Burfitt, I R, Nagel, A.A, Wenkert, E and Naf, F, Helv Chim Acta 1975, 58, 1567
- 6. Turner, J.A and Herz, W, J Org Chem 1977, 42, 1900
- 7. Pascual Teresa, J de, Mateos, A F, Barrero, A F and Pollos, P, Studia Chemica 1984, IX, 49
- 8. Barrero, A F, Sánchez, J F and Altarejos, J, unpublished results
- 9 Barrero, A F, Sánchez, J F and Altarejos, J, Tetrahedron Lett 1988, 29, 3713
- 10 (a) Horeau, A, Tetrahedron Lett 1961, 15, 506 (b) Horeau, A and Kagan, H B, Tetrahedron 1964, 20, 2431
- 11 (a) Levy, G C, Lichter, R L and Nelson, G L, Carbon-13 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy, 2nd Ed, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1980 (b) For rosane diterpenoids <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data see Pinto, A C, Garcez, W S, Ficara, M L G, Vasconselos, T C, Pereira, A L, Gomes, L N, Frechiani, M C and Patitucci, M L, An Acad Brasil Cienc 1982, 54, 103
- 12 Enzell, CR and Ryhage, R, Arkıv Kemi 1965, 23, 367
- 13. Audier, H E, Bory, S, Fetizon, M and Anh, N T, Bull Soc Clum Fr 1966, 12, 4002
- 14. Coates, R M in Fortschritte der Chemie Organischer Naturstoffe, Vol 33, p 73, Herc, W, Grisebach, H and Kirby, G W, Ed, Springer-Verlag, Wien, 1976
- 15. (a) Fourrey, J., Polonsky, J and Wenkert, E., Chem Commun 1969, 714 (b) Edwards, O. E. and Mootoo, B. S., Can J. Chem 1969, 47, 1189
- 16 Pascual Teresa, J de, San Feliciano, A and Barrero, A F, An Quim 1973, 69, 1065
- 17. Cockerill, A F and Rackham, D M, Tetrahedron Lett 1970, 59, 5149